

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's further Office Action of July 18, 2007 issued with respect to the present RCE application, the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment of the claims and the below Remarks.

Regarding Amendment

In the Amendment:

independent claim 1 is amended to specify that the clamping arrangement of the mounting element is configured to allow constrained movement of the printhead module relative to the support frame during use. Support for these amendments can be found at page 13, line 32-page 15, line 25 of the present specification; and dependent claims 2-8 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the above amendments do not add new matter to the present application.

Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-8 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggest by any one or more of previously cited Silverbrook '834, Lee, Silverbrook '908 and King, because none of these cited references teaches or suggests allowing constrained relative movement of a printhead module on a support frame, as required by amended independent claim 1.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:



Kia Silverbrook



Norman Micheal Berry



Garry Raymond Jackson



Akira Nakazawa

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762